From: Michael <michael@theyfly.com> Date: April 4, 2004 10:45:24 AM PDT To: "ike", "Tim", SKEPTICMAG@aol.com, "russ", randi@randi.org, James Underdown <jim@cfiwest.org>, derek@iigwest.com, Vaughn@cfiwest.org, Plejarans\_are\_real@yahoogroups.com Subject: Re: Questions

Hi Tim,

Nice to meet you. I appreciate the background info as well. I'm especially interested in your background as a private investigator, since this case has elements concerning evidence and motive that would surely be closely examined if this was a criminal case, for instance.

I wish to go to your comment about evidence of alien visits, since it's obviously a genuine consideration if one is to be logical and not expect people to "believe" the case is true without it. Let's also acknowledge, or please present some rational reason to reject, that the ETs, should they exist, would be a bit more intelligent than we are. That being the case, we must incorporate into our examination of evidence as much thinking and reasoning as we can, especially in light of the actual information allegedly given by them to Meier that pertains to their agenda and method of operation.

This brings us back to the points, regarding evidence, that I have firmly maintained regarding the photographs. Let's review, again in light of your own comment about evidence, some of the following, which may be unknown to you since it hasn't come up in our discussion and your interest has been primarily, and now understandably, on the metals.

The investigators, led by Lt. Col. Wendelle Stevens (USAF, Ret.), spent some six years investigating the case. Their own team had telecommunication and electronics experts as well as photographic experts. They had access to the top labs, personnel and equipment. They ascertained that Meier had taken around 1,200 clear, daytime photos of up to four craft at a time, some in sequence shots (where the ships change their relationship to each other as well as to the environment), eight 8mm movie films, one video, sound recordings done on a cassette machine (40 minutes) outdoors, in front of 15 witnesses including an undercover policeman, and of course the metal alloy samples. In addition, there are over 100 witnesses to the UFOs as well as at least four other photographers.

An excerpt from the photographic analysis and the sound analysis is freely available from my site. And, if you haven't seen it, you can get an English language version of the metal analysis video from www.figu.org/us Nice as all the physical evidence may be, there is an even higher standard of proof and this is what I have gathered and researched over the years. The Contact Reports are the transcripts (single-handedly typed by Meier himself) of his conversations with the Plejaren, mainly from 1975 on but with some excerpts from as early as 1956. I acquired the first roughly translated English version of some 1800 pages in 1986. They had been available for at least a few years prior to my getting them.

So as to not make this interminably long, suffice it to say that I found numerous items scattered throughout, of a very specific nature, that proved to be prophetically accurate, i.e. were published before "official" discovery or the occurrence of the events foretold. The time in advance varied from a few weeks to years, even decades, later. My article "Proof Beyond A Reasonable Doubt" categorizes a lot of this information. I must note that we have the documents and books in question, as I mentioned, I am on record some time ago as having acquired my copy in 1986, and the books bear copyrights and ISBN numbers.

In the course of my research I culled dozens of these specific items, which were often told to Meier in the course of conversation, while some info was actually presented within the context of prophecy. I did extensive internet searches, and let's remember that there was no such thing during the time this information was published by Meier. I looked to see if the information was accurate, if so when the event or discovery occurred and when it was first known or published. In all cases that I researched, I found that the event/discovery occurred, i.e. that the info

was accurate, and the first knowledge of it conformed to its publication, or dated record, by Meier.

Considering the accuracy regarding the wide scope of topics (again, see my article),

and the possibility of it being known by Meier through any other means than those

he asserts, I could only come to the conclusion that, amazing as it may seem, he was truthful regarding his claims of ET contact. Again, we have copyrighted documents

and books, the publication dates of which precede the actual occurrence or discovery

of specific events by substantial time periods. Please understand the significance of this.

Now let's visit your area of interest, the metals, for a moment, and start with the somewhat glossed over fact that Marcel Vogel, whose speciality was the conversion of

energy inside crystals, and who had a fairly good understanding of a number of related

matters, examined (using scanning electron microscope) metal alloy samples presented

by Meier. He found that they contained nearly every element in the periodic table, including

the rare earth element thulium, which I don't have to tell you is more expensive than platinum.

His analysis revealed a number of unusual characteristics under increased magnification

and he reasonably concluded that the technology necessary to assemble this sample

exceeded any available to him - or to the metallurgists who also viewed it - as a scientist

at IBM.

Now, while you might say that Marcel Vogel wasn't "expert enough" do you think that he was

party to a hoax or duped by a farmer playing at metallurgy? When you look at the photo

analysis and the sound analysis and then include the eyewitness reports, the other known photographers and, to me most importantly, the prophetically accurate information, do you not

see a preponderance of the best "circumstantial" evidence you've ever encountered? Add to

your consideration the known facts that all of this was produced within a three or four year

period (including the physical evidence) by a one-armed man with no known or ever discovered

accomplices, technological or financial support. While engaged in his alleged contacts he

also worked to renovate a substantially sized, rundown property to make it fit for living for

his wife, three children and some friends...while he was attracting the attention of some 19

individuals who would attempt to murder him (a lot of trouble to take to get rid of a hoaxer).

Now, while we're not done with the metal issue yet, please understand why your abrupt

dismissal, in your earlier correspondence, of anything other than the metals was not going

to be accepted or acceded to. We can't simply demand that evidence be produced how,

when and where we want it. If only that was so, then police forces, and investigators such as

yourself, would be spending more time on vacation in the Bahamas and less doing the

hard grunt work required in reality.

At this point let me introduce my other premise, that the entire case is less about ETs and

UFOs than it is about our future survival, a conclusion I base on the following reasoning.

The information, starting with specifics presented to Meier in 1956 (some 48 years ago)

has proven to be prophetically, impeccably accurate. With such an unprecedented track

record of accuracy, the information that pertains to these and future times bears careful

consideration. This information, for these times, also extends back many, many decades

and includes very current info as well.

In the simplest sense, we are being warned that, unless there are some specified course

corrections made very soon, we will march down an irreversible path that leads to the

loss of 2/3 of humanity and the destruction of the northern hemisphere. Quite a number

of unpleasant specifics are provided. Now, one can answer that any number of parties

have "predicted" similar things and that it doesn't take an ET or a Swiss farmer to either

agree or disagree with the information. This also reflects the inability of people to grasp

the context of the case and its evidence and, paradoxically, reinforces the long-standing

condition of non-violation of people's free will in regards to being forced to recognize the

reality of the case. I dare say that most people, perhaps with the unfortunate exception of

the destruction-craving radical Islamic terrorists, can't quite grasp the magnitude (let alone

the reality) of what is being said here.

So, regarding the metals, which you may have noticed that I never said that I was in

possession of, and which you probably presumed based on an attempt by the skeptics to

distract from and conceal (as magicians like to do) the boring, mundane facts surrounding their being completely baffled and trounced by the photographic challenge, samples are said to

still be in the possession of Meier.

I personally perceive problems with testing them, even if a hundred of them were put on the

table right now. Apart from simple chain of command problems and the like, let's consider

that, while another scientist or ten might also conclude that they had something inexplicable

in their hands, I'll bet none of them would, or could, come out and say that they could prove

that it was extraterrestrial. This would only actually further obfuscate the "real" issues and

the skeptics would be left off the hook, let me explain why.

The situation the skeptics find themselves in right now is one in which they are faced, by their

own admission (Randi) and failures (CFI-West) with evidence of the "paranormal", which is at

the heart of the challenge to them since they maintain (to the collective tune of \$1,005,000 US)

that no such thing as paranormal exists. Further, because prominent among them are magicians

and illusionists, whose very specialty is creating and detecting illusion, hoax and fraud, their

absolutely abysmal failures and now ethical and financial dilemmas, show forth so loudly and

clearly that awareness of the case, and its now further substantiated reality, is increasing

worldwide, witness our communication.

This is a situation that I am not going to allow to be diffused or distracted from, whether there

may be further testing of the metals, because, frankly, I think the skeptics would love to see

such testing precisely because of the "inconclusive" conclusions that could be drawn concerning

even still irreproducible metal alloys.

Now, while I am very open to that discussion, I'll reiterate that the predicament

the skeptics are in,

which has even caused them to publish a pathetic disclaimer (which I've, of course, rebutted) is

one that has enormous importance and will either, eventually, result in their dissolution as a

group with any integrity, credibility or ethics, or possibly give birth to an honest (and extremely

well respected, genuine and WARMLY WELCOMED) acknowledgment that they have actually

learned something new and that there is, indeed, in the Meier case something quite worthy

of honest, objective scientific examination.

In other words, if the egos and vested interests of these parties can be surmounted from within,

if they regain a sense of humility in the face of the unknown (rather than act like the church in

its confrontation with Galileo) then there's no reason why all parties couldn't examine and struggle

through this with the same honest intention: to find out what is true and what the meaning of

the entire matter is. Certainly, any and all perceived hostility and baiting of them by me and

others would vanish in a second, it's obviously (to anyone who thinks this through) not my

intention to engage in these battles, which, nonetheless have served to bring this to the

attention of literally millions of people worldwide. Please don't misunderstand, while I'm a

reluctant warrior, much preferring cooperation and discovery to conflict, I have no hesitation

in vigorously engaging my friends currently opposed, nor to using the proceedings to increase

awareness of the case, important as I perceive it to be to mankind.

I learned that my previous attempts at open, friendly communication with Randi, among others, were perceived as weakness, unfamiliar as they appear to be with the steel hand within the velvet glove.

So, if the "loyal opposition" is inextricably bound, and compelled, to enact the role of "dark background upon which to shine the light of truth" then so be it. If there's a willingness to overcome misplaced pride, ego, etc. - a challenge with which I have much personal familiarity, with varying results - I would stand at the head of the line in welcoming it, again NOT for personal gain, gloat or glorification, rather

to cooperatively get on with the work at hand as it relates to the welfare of all humanity.

I am keeping your personal comments/information out of this correspondence since I am sharing it with various parties pro and con. I welcome your, and others', comments including those regarding the metals. Nonetheless, you know my previously stated priorities as well as my, hopefully now clearly understood, preferred and higher purpose, which includes the cooperation of ALL parties (and the mutual suspension of all attacks, criticisms, derision, etc.) in the pursuit of truth and benefit to all mankind.

Sincerely,

Michael Horn Authorized American Media Representative The Billy Meier Contacts www.theyfly.com

Right Michael,

Now I've calmed down a bit, let me tell you who I am. My name is Tim [last name removed]

and I live..... and a private investigator,

although for many years I was an engineer with a company producing high technology castings in ferrous and non-ferrous materials for the aerospace and defence industries. I am not, nor would I claim to be, a metalurgist, but let's say neither am I ignorant of the subject.

I have a strong interest in the paranormal, and while I tend toward the sceptic viewpoint I keep an open mind. If there is evidence of alien visits to this planet I would dearly like to see it. Because of my past experience with metals the suggestion that a sample of material that cannot be analysed exists intrigues me. If I could help to investigate the make up and origin of such a material I would be happy to do so.

My problem is this.

I still don't know if the sample exists. If it does, I feel strongly that it should be examined by the best metalurgists available - hence my suggestion of Professor Bhadeshia, whose credentials are impeccable (and with whom I have subsequently had correspondence. He meant precisely what he said this

is not his area of interest).

The "tests" carried out by Marcel Vogel were by definition over 13 years ago (he died in 1991 I believe) and by a man who, whilst educated, was not an expert in the metallic elements.

So Michael, now you know who I am - and I'm prepared to give you my home

address and telephone number, after you have given me a firm undertaking not to reveal personal information to all and sundry as you did with your allegation of fraud, if you think you need it - we come back to the basic questions.

Do you have the sample?

Are you prepared to have it tested?

I would have thought that you would welcome the chance to prove the sceptic community wrong.

Tim

----- Original Message -----From: "Michael" <michael@theyfly.com> To: <SKEPTICMAG@aol.com>; "Tim"; <derek@iigwest.com>; <randi@randi.org>; <Vaughn@cfiwest.org>; <Plejarans\_are\_real@yahoogroups.com>; "James Underdown" <jim@cfiwest.org> Sent: Saturday, April 03, 2004 10:30 PM Subject: Re: Questions

Tim,

Now, now, for a guy who hit the ground making a lot of demands, who had spent less than one day for every year that I had researched the case, let alone than all of the experts who have already examined the case and its evidence have, may I suggest that you put your pride aside and find out who you are and then tell me. In the meantime, you might actually indulge in a little free reading (www.theyfly.com) and find out why all you Johnny-come-lately's and your presumptuous demands aren't taken very seriously.

May I also suggest that, in the future, if you wish to avoid any erroneous assumptions on the part of people to whom you incompletely represent yourself, you follow a few accepted rules and protocols for establishing a relationship that warrants your being taken seriously, as well as then open to your "demands". Hmmm?

MH

Michael You may remember you accused me of fraud. I am still pissed at you, so you can wait until I'm bloody ready. Tim ----- Original Message ----- From: "Michael" <michael@theyfly.com> To: <SKEPTICMAG@aol.com>; "Tim"; <derek@iigwest.com>; <randi@randi.org>; <Vaughn@cfiwest.org>; <Plejarans\_are\_real@yahoogroups.com>; "James Underdown" <jim@cfiwest.org> Sent: Saturday, April 03, 2004 10:00 PM Subject: Questions

Tlm,

A couple of days ago I asked you a few questions to which I've received no response.

"Who are you?" isn't a trick question.

But if you are having trouble answering it, and the other ones I posed,

there must surely be someone who can help you. However, I don't recommend your asking Professor Bhadeshia.

I'm waiting.

MH